



## Friend of the Sea

Last update: 05/11/2018

### Public Comments

<p><b>Date of revision:</b> 30/09/2016  <b>Standard:</b> FOS Wild version 3  <b>Voting results:</b> In favour: 35 / Not in favour: 4  <b>Date of approval:</b> 18/10/2016</p>	
<p><b>Comments received</b></p>	<p>"This improvement in FOS requirements is very much appreciated. Particularly as far as fishery's management new requirements are concerned"</p>
	<p>"Proposed changes are OK but could be difficult to apply on site. I suggest a testing period."</p>
	<p>"Please develop a manual for better auditors' interpretation"</p>
	<p>"Well done. We agree with changes!"</p>
	<p>"Kann ich das nächste Mal empfehlen, uns eine Checkliste auf Deutsch zur Verfügung zu stellen. Vielen Dank"</p>
	<p>"Dear Friend of the Sea team, this new checklist represent a major improvement. Congratulations"</p>
	<p>"3.2 May I suggest that in the next year FOS further reduces the allowed level of discards"</p>
	<p>"We fully agree with your Non-Entangling FADs requirements. Much better than boycott. Please be specific in the manual regarding auditor's verification of this requirement"</p>
	<p>"Concordiamo con le modifiche"</p>
	<p>"8.1.1 might be difficult to be verified. But congratulation for including Social Accountability."</p>



## Friend of the Sea

<b>Date of revision:</b> 24/10/2016 <b>Standard:</b> Fish oil, fishmeal, fish feed standards <b>Voting results:</b> <b>Date of approval:</b> 15/02/2017	
<b>Comments received</b>	Suggestion to merge CoC and FO standards into a single document to improve audit performance.

<b>Date of revision:</b> 29/09/2016 <b>Standard:</b> CoC Standard <b>Voting results:</b> <b>Date of approval:</b> 15/02/2017	
<b>Comments received</b>	Missing requirements for group certification, subcontractors.

<b>Date of revision:</b> 27/10/2015 <b>Standard:</b> Wild – Generic <b>Voting results:</b> 09/09 <b>Date of approval:</b> 27/12/2015	
<b>Comments received</b>	No comments were received during this period.

<b>Date of revision:</b> 20/10/2015 <b>Standard:</b> Wild – Tuna <b>Voting results:</b> 9/9 <b>Date of approval:</b> 20/12/2015	
<b>Comments received</b>	Affected tuna fisheries felt the time was mature to introduce this requirement. Some felt it was too generic, others believed it had to be kept generic.
	Major NGOs appreciated the introduction of this new requirement as it meets at least in part their campaigns objectives.



## Friend of the Sea

<b>Date of revision:</b> 01/05/2015 <b>Standard:</b> Wild – Tuna <b>Voting results:</b> 8/10 <b>Date of approval:</b> 01/07/2015	
<b>Comments received</b>	Certification and Accreditation Bodies appreciated the proposed changes, since it reduces subjectivity.
	Friend of the Sea already approved companies also trusted the change would have been positive and feasible.

<b>Date of revision:</b> 01/05/2015 <b>Standard:</b> Wild – Generic <b>Voting results:</b> 8/10 <b>Date of approval:</b> 01/07/2015	
<b>Comments received</b>	No comments were received during this period.

<b>Date of revision:</b> 26/02/2015 <b>Standard:</b> FOS Wild <b>Voting results:</b> <b>Date of approval:</b> 15/02/2017	
<b>Comments received</b>	No comments were received during this period.